OIPE	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/022,423	FORMAN ET AL.
May 0 1 2004 F	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lawrence D. Ferguson	1774
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):	
(1) Lawrence D. Ferguson.	(3)	
(2) Walter Udell.	(4)	·
Date of Interview: 26 October 2004.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representat	ive]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: All.		
Identification of prior art discussed: All.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) was not reached. h)	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Shee</u>	al nature of what was agreed : (	to if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	CORV Of the amendance that	greed would render the claims would render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OFFICENDAM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse sections.	ie last Office action has airead R THE MAILING DATE OF THE FOR THE SUBSTANCE OF T	ly been filed, APPLICANT IS
•		
		,
	1	-
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	2.1	ex Bon
The second of th	⊏xaminer's sigr	nature if required

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)

Application No. 10/022,423

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued Griesbach does not disclose a continuous web of substantially constant thickness packaging material with strip length being secured transversely to the running length of said web of packaging material along the strip top edge and being substantially unsecured along its bottom edge, which can be seen in Figure 3. Applicant proposed amending the claims to inloude "a plurality of discrete strips of substantially contant thickness flexible material" along with other proposed amendments to overcome the Griesbach reference, which Examiner acknowledged does not disclose a plurality of strips at discrete intervals.